

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,522	09/30/2005	Yoav Luxembourg	. 30626	6185
Martin Moyniha	7590 05/10/2007		EXAM	INER
PRTSI Inc		•	CHU, YONG LIANG	
P O Box 16446 Arlington, VA 22215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ζ,		·	1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	05/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/551,522	LUXEMBOURG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Yong Chu	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	<u>arch 2007</u> .	•				
·=	· 					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	εх раπе Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-29 and 38-64</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-37</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/20/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/551,522

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-64 are pending in the instant application.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicants' Information Disclosure Statement, filed on 03/20/2007, has been considered. Please refer to Applicant's copy of the PTO-1449 submitted herewith.

Priority

This application is a PCT 371 national stage application of PCT/IL05/00886 filed on 08/16/2005.

Response to Restriction

Applicants' election without traverse of Group V (claims 30-37) by Applicant's representative Mr. Martin D. Moynihan dated on 03/20/2007, has been considered.

Status of the Claims

Claims 30-37 are examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 30-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to

Application/Control Number: 10/551,522 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

general formula I However, the specification does not clearly describe how to make and use the compounds. According to MPEP§608.01(p), Markush claims must be provided with support in the disclosure for each member of the Markush group. A disclosure involving a new chemical compound or composition must teach persons skilled in the art how to make the compound or composition. The specification does not teach how to use or make the compounds of Formula (I), wherein the general formula I does not contain "-O-" between the 1,2,3-triazole ring and phosphine group. The working example I as "PyClock" on page 35 of the specification do not read in the Formula I. Applicants need to fix this discrepancy appropriately

Claims 30-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph for lack of enablement. The compounds of formula I were not taught by the specification. The STN structure search shows that the compounds of formula I are novel and never been made.

In *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, have been described. They are:

- 1. the nature of the invention,
- 2. the state of the prior art,

without introduce new matter.

- 3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. the amount of direction or guidance present,

Application/Control Number: 10/551,522 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

5. the presence or absence of working examples,

6. the breadth of the claims,

7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and

8. the level of the skill in the art.

The Nature of the Invention

The nature of the invention in 30-37 is compounds of formula I.

The State of the Prior Art

The compounds with the formula I have not been made so far (see STN search report).

The level of skill in the art

The level of skill in the art is high. However, due to the unpredictability in the chemistry art, it is noted that each embodiment of the invention is required to be individually disclosed.

The predictability or lack thereof in the art

Because of high level of unpredictability, a greater amount of evidentiary support is needed to fully satisfy the requirement of 35 U.S.C 112, first paragraph.

The amount of direction or guidance present

The specification does not disclose how to make these compounds.

The presence or absence of working examples

A disclosure should contain representative examples, which provide reasonable assurance to one skilled in the art that compounds fall within the scope of a claim will posses the alleged activity. The instant specification does not provide any example for making the compounds of formula I.

The quantity of experimentation needed

Art Unit: 1626

Based on the unpredictable nature of the invention and the state of the prior art and the breadth of the claims, one of ordinary skill in the art would be burdened with undue "experimentation study" to determine whether the claimed compounds would be available for how to make or use the compounds.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong Chu whose telephone number is 571-272-5759. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00 am - 3:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. M[©]Kane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1626

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1626

PATENT EXAMINER

Joseph K. M[©]Kane Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626